



*Jm*

PATENT  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.: 053785-5171

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Application of:	)	
	)	Confirmation No.: 8247
Hyun-Suk JIN et al.	)	
	)	Group Art Unit: 2871
Application No.: 10/809,327	)	
	)	Examiner: Z. Qi
Filed: March 26, 2004	)	
	)	
For: LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE	)	
AND METHOD OF FABRICATING	)	
THE SAME	)	

Commissioner for Patents  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Alexandria, VA 22314

Sir:

**RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

In response to the Restriction Requirement set forth in the Office Action mailed February 8, 2006, the period for response to which extends through March 8, 2006, Applicants elect the invention defined by the Examiner as Specie II, corresponding to the structure shown in FIG. 5, and commensurate with claims 1-10, 13-25, and 28-44, *with traverse*.

Applicants respectfully assert that the Requirement fails to properly identify claims generic to the alleged Species I-IV. Specifically, Applicants respectfully assert that independent claims 1 and 31, and hence dependent claims 2-10, 15, 32-34, and 37, are generic to each of alleged Species I and II. In addition, Applicants respectfully assert that independent claims 16 and 38, and hence dependent claims 17-25, 30, 38-41, and 44, are generic to each of alleged

Species II, III, and IV. Accordingly, independent claims 1 and 31 identified as being commensurate with elected FIG. 5 (Specie II) are also commensurate with (i.e., read upon) the structure shown in FIG. 2 (Specie I), and independent claims 16 and 38 further identified as being commensurate with elected FIG. 5 (Specie II) are also commensurate with (i.e., read upon) the structures shown in FIGs. 7 and 8. Thus, upon the allowance of generic independent claim 1, then claims 11 and 12 must be afforded consideration, and upon allowance of generic claim 16, then claims 26 and 27 must be afforded consideration, as set forth in the Requirement.

For at least the above reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the Requirement.

If the Examiner believes that Applicants' identification of claims, as presented above, is not correct, he is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' undersigned representative to discuss the matter before examination of elected Specie II, and claims 1-10, 13-25, and 28-44.


Except for issue fees payable under 37 C.F.R. §1.18, the Commissioner is hereby authorized by this paper to charge any additional fees during the entire pendency of this

application including fees due under 37 C.F.R. §1.16 and 1.17 which may be required, including any required extension of time fees, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-0310.

Respectfully submitted,

**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**

By: \_\_\_\_\_



David B. Hardy  
Reg. No. 47,362

Dated: March 7, 2006

**Customer No. 009629**

**MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP**

1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20004

Tel: 202.739.3000